



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,572		08/07/2003	Dae Young Kim	e Young Kim 2950-0271P		
2292	7590	04/13/2006		EXAMINER		
		T KOLASCH & 1	HINDI, NABIL Z			
PO BOX 7 FALLS CH		VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ				2627		

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	t	10/635,572	KIM, DAE YOUNG	6
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		NABIL Z. HINDI	2627	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period f	or Reply			-
VVHII - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DYNCHISTORY BY A WAILING DYNCHISTORY BY A WAILING DYNCHISTORY BY A WAILING DYNCHISTORY BY A WAILING BY A	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION  36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  . cause the application to become AF	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this commun  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)	
Status	:			
1)  🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	nril 2006		
		action is non-final.		
3)□	<i>,</i> —		ers prosecution as to the mer	rite ie
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,6,8,10,13 and 15-18</u> is/are pend	ling in the application		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,8 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) 6 and 10 is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *	l21(d).
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority ı	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a).	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage	е
* 0	application from the International Bureau			
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
<b>Attool</b>	wa)			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	. □ · · · ·		
	e of References Cited (PTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/635,572

Art Unit: 2627

In response to applicant's amendment dated April 03, 2006. The following action is taken:

1.1

The claims are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action repeated herein.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person snail be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morimoto (6118748).

The independent claims merely read on data on a disk (pits) recorded using (1-7 RLL) modulation rule wherein the minimum pit length in the (RLL 1-7) modulation rule is 2T. the reference shows an optical disk apparatus wherein the disk is recorded with data (pits) having a minimum pit length of 2T as cited in column 7 lines 35-40, photo detection means 10, modulator/demodulator inherently present. meeting the claimed invention.

With respect to the limitations of claims 13 and 15-18, the reference shows the use of a 2T minimum pit length (0.48 um) within an apparatus having an objective lens having a numerical aperture of (0.55 um) thus the pit is smaller than the beam spot.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,572

Art Unit: 2627

14

Claims 6, 10, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows a comparator comparing a reproduced signal by a plurality of reference levels and a selector operating as claimed.

Applicant's arguments filed April 03, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are centered around the prior art being drawn to a magneto-optical system as opposed to an optical system. Applicant's claimed invention does not exclude the use of a magneto optical system. The claims are only drawn to the use of a method of reproducing normal data on an optical recording medium or a device for reproducing data on an optical recording medium. The reference is drawn to the use of a system for reading an optical recording medium as cited in column 1 lines 9-11, column 4 lines 58-62 and claim 1 of the reference. The argument being drawn to the prior art showing a magneto-optical system is irrelevant since first; the claims do not exclude the use of such device, secondly; the claims are drawn to a "device" lacking any particularity and finally to a method of reading an optical medium which is shown in the reference.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/635,572

Art Unit: 2627

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z. HINDI at telephone number (571) 272-7618.

Page 4